

REVIEW

Georgi Stamenov¹
Dimitar Parvanov¹
Todor Chaushev¹
Daniela Baltadzhieva¹
Ilia Iliev²
Balik Dzhambazov³

Approaches for prediction of the implantation potential of human embryos

Authors' addresses:

¹Nadezhda Centre for Reproductive Health, Sofia, Bulgaria.

²Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Faculty of Biology, Plovdiv University, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

³Department of Developmental Biology, Faculty of Biology, Plovdiv University, Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

Correspondence:

Balik Dzhambazov

Department of Developmental Biology,
Faculty of Biology, Plovdiv University,
24, Tsar Assen Str.
4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria
Tel.: +359 32 261535
e-mail: balik@uni-plovdiv.bg

Article info:

Received: 9 November 2012

In revised form: 29 November 2012

Accepted: 30 November 2012

ABSTRACT

Optimization of assisted reproductive technologies (ART) has become the main goal of contemporary reproductive medicine. The main aspiration of scientists working in the field is to use less intervention to achieve more, and, if possible, in a more cost-effective way. A number of directions have been under development, namely – various stimulation protocols, ART with no stimulation whatever, all aiming at a single goal – the chase for Moby Dick, or the perfect embryo. Comprehensive embryo selection resulting in reducing the number of transferred embryos is one of the main directions for optimization of the ART procedures. Both clinical and laboratory procedures are being constantly improved, and today there is a significant number of clinics that report success rates of 30% and even higher. Based on results achieved, and analyzing data from millions of ART procedures, researchers from different centers are seeking to develop prognostic models in order to further improve success rates. One of the greatest challenges remains the reduction of the incidence of multifetal pregnancy, and that can be achieved only through reducing the number of embryos per transfer and a rise in single embryo transfer (SET) numbers. This, however, depends on reliable methods for preliminary embryo selection, employing a growing number of morphological, biochemical, genetic and other characteristics of the embryo. A primary concern in developing prognostic models for *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) outcome is selecting the prognostic parameters to be included. A number of publications define the main criteria that have an impact on fertilization outcome on the side of the embryo, and for the ultimate outcome of the ART procedure – on the side of the maternal organism as a whole. In this review, some of the most important parameters are discussed, with particular focus on their application for development of IVF prognostic models.

Key words: IVF, implantation, human embryo, assisted reproduction, prognostic models

1. EMBRYO QUALITY

1.1. Morphological criteria

The morphological parameters most often used for embryo quality assessment are blastomere size, cleavage rate, degree of fragmentation, cytoplasm appearance, blastomere nucleation (multinucleation has been shown to correlate to

lower quality), but also zona pellucida peculiarities, time of the first mitotic division, etc. (Cumminis *et al.*, 1986; Steer *et al.*, 1992; Bertrand *et al.*, 1995; Lundin *et al.*, 2001). Morphological criteria for embryo quality assessment have changed over time. It has been shown that excellent quality zygotes sometimes result in poor quality embryos after *in vitro* culture, while some poor quality zygotes can result in excellent quality embryos. Because of this, it is generally

REVIEW

assumed that oocyte quality is not a good prognostic parameter for ART outcome in comparison to embryo quality before transfer. Nevertheless, it has often been recommended that clinics use both zygote and late-stage embryo grading systems in combination (Figure 1).

1.2. Developmental stage

Most often embryos are transferred on day 2 or day 3 after fertilization. It is assumed that this provides sufficient time to perform tests for selecting the best quality embryo. Sometimes various culture media are used to sustain embryo development until day 5 through to the blastocyst stage.

The use of good quality blastocysts has been shown to improve success rates, as not all embryos survive to this stage. Embryo implantation in the endometrium takes place on day 5. Therefore, the blastocyst transfer at this moment improves synchronicity between embryo development and the endometrium, and has often been reported to improve successful pregnancy rates. Yet, in order to be possible to wait until day 5, it is necessary to have a sufficient number of embryos, which will then show their developmental competence. Thus, the blastocyst transfer is not a positive selection technique, but it is rather elimination.































A1	Description	Score	A2	Description	Score	A3	Description	Score
	Pronuclei in central location	5		Equal number of aligned nucleoli	5		Well defined granular area	5
	Pronuclei in peripheral location	4		Equal number of misaligned nucleoli	4		Well defined granular area and vesicles	4
	Separated pronuclei	3		Unequal number of scattered nucleoli	3		Poorly defined granular area	3
	Pronuclei of different size	2		Equal or Unequal number of small nucleoli	2		Without granular area	2
	Pronuclei with abnormal division	1		Mix of various-sized nucleoli	1		Without granular area, with vesicles	1
B1	Description	Score	B2	Description	Score	B3	Description	Score
	Synchronous cleavage, symmetrical equal size blastomeres	5		100% mononuclear blastomeres	5		No fragmentation	5
	Asynchronous cleavage, equal size blastomeres	4		< 25% multinuclear blastomeres	4		< 10% fragments	4
	Synchronous cleavage, different size blastomeres	3		25 - 50% multinuclear blastomeres	3		10 - 25% fragments	3
	Asynchronous cleavage, different size blastomeres	2		50 - 75% multinuclear blastomeres	2		25 - 40% fragments	2
	No cleavage	1		100% multinuclear blastomeres	1		> 40% fragments	1

Figure 1. Sample model of a quality grading system for oocytes and embryos: **(A)** Oocyte grading: score is equally distributed across three factors: **(A1)** – pronuclear location before first cell division; **(A2)** – location and appearance of nucleoli; **(A3)** – cytoplasm morphology; **(B)** Embryo morphology: once again score (15 points total) is equally distributed across three factors: **(B1)** – blastomere size and cleavage synchronicity; **(B2)** – level of multinuclear formation; **(B3)** – fragmentation level. (after De Placido *et al.*, 2002).

REVIEW**1.3. Genetic factors**

In the initial stages of embryo development the cell cycle control is carried out through a number of factors that are synthesized in the oocyte before fertilization. Genomic expression begins to gradually increase at the 8-cell stage. Preimplantation genetic aneuploidy screening (PGS) has failed. The big hopes that use to be put into this method unfortunately turned out to be in vain, because embryos tested for chromosome abnormalities by the FISH method at the 8-cell stage failed to show improved implantation as compared to controls (Blockeel *et al.*, 2008). Two main reasons could explain this – on the one hand, the human embryo tends to eliminate mosaic blastomeres at later stages of its development, and on the other, not everything in the process of implantation depends on the right number of chromosomes. Because of this, analysis of the expression of specific genes is performed at later stages.

1.4. Metabolism

It has been suggested that embryo metabolism predetermines its survival at the different developmental stages. This has led to the development of new methods for analysis of embryonic metabolic processes, based on the interaction of the embryo with culture media. The application of spectroscopic methods has shown differences in spectral characteristics between successfully implanted embryos and embryos that failed to implant (Brison *et al.*, 2007).

1.5. Processes of freezing / thawing

One of the key factors for selection of frozen-thawed embryos is the restarting of cleaving within 24 hours post-thaw. In post-thaw embryo culture overnight, cleaved embryos have been shown to have 10 times higher implantation potential in comparison to non-cleaved ones (Guerif *et al.*, 2002). With the further development of methods and media for cryopreservation of embryos and oocytes in recent years, the significance of this factor has decreased.

1.6. Number of embryos transferred

The chances for successful embryo implantation and achievement of pregnancy rise with increasing the number of embryos to be transferred (Schieve *et al.*, 1999; Salumets *et al.*, 2006). The probability for successful implantation is

increased by 22% with each additional embryo replaced. This rule can be explained with the molecular interactions between embryos and the endometrium that precede the actual implantation, as well as with the higher mass of the placenta during initial stages following implantation of multiple embryos, resulting in higher levels of hCG and progesterone (Matorras *et al.*, 2005). The synergy between multiple embryos has beneficial effect on their long-term survival and decreases the risk for spontaneous abortion for any one of them (Lambers *et al.*, 2007).

With the further development of ART methods, the understanding about the optimal number of embryos to transfer are also continually evolving; even opinions from 3-4 years ago are now changing. How many embryos to replace back in the uterus is the dilemma of each and every ART professional. Single embryo transfer cannot always be motivated as the right choice for each couple – sometimes it could actually decrease the chances for a successful procedure, which can eventually turn out to be expensive and demotivating for the patients. On the other hand remain the risks from pre-term birth and other complications of multifetal pregnancy, which are in no case easier to handle.

2. FEMALE FACTORS AFFECTING THE ART OUTCOME

The ultimate IVF result is much more dependent on maternal factors. This can be explained by the dominating role of oocyte components during the early stages of embryo development, as well as the direct involvement of the female organism in the processes of implantation and further development of the conceptus.

2.1. Oocyte quality

One of the main factors affecting fertilization outcome is oocyte quality. Various models for oocyte quality grading have been applied, most of them based on morphological criteria (Xia, 1997; Loutradis *et al.*, 1999; Ebner *et al.*, 2000).

Another significant parameter is the number of aspirated oocytes. An international study (Sunkara *et al.*, 2011) reviewing 400 135 cycles, reports an average of 9 oocytes picked up per woman. The live birth rate reported from fresh IVF cycles rises with increasing the number of aspirated oocytes to 15, which is assumed to be the optimal number, and then begins to drop with further increase of the number of aspirated oocytes above 20. On the other hand there is a

REVIEW

serious risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome when the number of aspirated oocytes goes above 18 (Verwoerd *et al.*, 2008; Lee *et al.*, 2010).

2.2. Low ovarian response

In the cases when the result of ovarian stimulation is suboptimal we talk about poor or low ovarian response, which correlates with lower chances for implantation and successful pregnancy after IVF. Various methods for diagnosis, prognosis and management of this condition have been developed (Tarlantzis *et al.*, 2003; Broekmans *et al.*, 2007).

Low ovarian response is manifested in a low peak of estradiol level during stimulation (<300 pg/ml), estradiol level below 200 pg/ml on day 5 of stimulation, low number of aspirated oocytes (<3), and poor embryo quality (Fisch *et al.*, 2008).

Among the prognostic parameters that are directly related to poor ovarian response are endocrine markers such as the base concentration of FSH, estradiol, AMH (which has an influence on follicle growth and development as well as on the number of preantral follicles) (Toner *et al.*, 1991; Licciardi *et al.*, 1995; La Marca & Volpe, 2006).

Stimulation of poor responders is a challenge for every IVF program, and there is no universal solution. It has to be noted that in the case of poor responders the number of embryos to be transferred is of lower significance, because the big question with those patients is not how many embryos to replace, but if there will be any embryos at all for transfer.

2.3. Endometrial receptivity

The receptivity of the uterus is of crucial importance for the success of the ART procedure, and it has been estimated to contribute between 30 to 64% to successful implantation (Rogers *et al.*, 1986). Naturally the blastocyst is able to implant in the endometrium for a relatively limited period of only 48 hours per menstrual cycle. Using various types of stimulation this period can be significantly prolonged (Develioglu *et al.*, 1999).

Embryo implantation is regulated by numerous endometrial factors. Glycodeline is a key component of endometrial secretions and its expression is regulated by progesterone. Glycodeline secretion is a known determinant of endometrium maturity, which is also an important factor in successful embryo implantation (Halttunen *et al.*, 2000).

Most researchers share the understanding that the embryo is the most important factor in the initial stages of the process of implantation, while continuing pregnancy up to 6th g.w. depends to a greater extent on the combined effects of factors of both endometrial and embryo origin (Lambers *et al.*, 2007). A coordinated molecular dialogue has been demonstrated to exist between the embryo and the endometrium, involving interactions of various growth factors and regulation factors such as leptin, $\alpha\beta3$ integrin etc. (Irving & Lala, 1995).

At present, there exists a number of convenient methods for sampling and analysis of cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and regulation factors, secreted by both the embryo and the endometrium (Boomsma *et al.*, 2009a). There is a research study, launched to investigate and characterize key components of endometrial secretion that may have prognostic value as determinants for successful embryo implantation and successful pregnancy (Boomsma *et al.*, 2009b).

Endometrium characteristics are among the key predictive parameters for the success of IVF procedures. Endometrial lining thickness of less than 6 mm is considered a poor prognosis, due to the difficulty it presents for good embryo adhesion. On the other hand, abnormal thickness of the endometrium is also bad news – endometrium lining of more than 12 mm during transfer seriously reduces the chances for successful implantation (Weissman *et al.*, 1999; Kumbak *et al.*, 2009).

2.4. Female age

Female age was the first indicator for the chances for successful pregnancy to be ever discussed as a prognostic factor for success in IVF procedures (Table 1) (Padilla & Garcia, 1989; Templeton *et al.*, 1996). The main trend described is a decrease in success rates with advanced female age. This is especially well observed in patients beyond 38 years, with a dramatic drop in success rates. The key reason for this is the fact that with advanced age the oocytes produced demonstrate a marked decline in both numbers and quality. This is attributed to the prolonged exposure of chromosome telomeres to the detrimental effects of free radicals and reactive oxygen species in combination with the reduced synthesis of telomerase in the oocytes. This ultimately results in telomere shortening and risk of unwanted events like misalignment and wrong recombination of chromosomes during meiosis.

REVIEW

Table 1. Models including different types of prognostic factors for IVF procedures – age, embryo morphology, number of transferred embryos, type of treatment, diagnosis, serum biochemical markers of the endometrium, etc.

Reference	Prognostic factors	Number repeats	Model
Chuang <i>et al.</i> 2003	Age (decline in success with aging)	1405 cycles	Logistic regression – pregnancy
Commengues-Ducos <i>et al.</i> 1998	Age (decline in success after >38 years)	923 transfers	Logistic regression – pregnancy and implantation
Haggarty <i>et al.</i> 2006	1. Age (decline in success with aging) 2. Genetic factors 3. Dietary variables	602 women (1 cycle)	Logistic regression – births
Lee <i>et al.</i> 2006	1. Age (decline in success with aging) 2. Embryo quality – cumulative score (top three)	584 transfers	Logistic regression – pregnancy
Ottosen <i>et al.</i> 2007	1. Age 2. Embryo quality – best and second best grade 3. FSH (follicular stimulating hormone)	2193 cycles	Logistic regression – pregnant vs non-pregnant, twins vs singletons
Roberts <i>et al.</i> 2009	1. Age (cubic) 2. Embryo quality – score 3. Patient diagnosis (idiopatic – higher success) 4. Number of cycles (>2 decline in success) 5. FSH 6. Smoking (decline in success) 7. Alcohol consumption	1198	EU – model – (multinomial response) live births
Rhodes <i>et al.</i> 2005	1. Age (decline in success with aging) 2. Number of oocytes, % fertilized 3. ICSI (increase in success) 4. Cook catheter (decline in success). 5. Embryologis.	205 (1 cycle)	Logistic regression – pregnancy
Sabatin <i>et al.</i> 2008	Age (relation to FSH)	1589	Significance tests, Logistic regression – live births
Terriou <i>et al.</i> 2001	1. Age (decline in success with aging) 2. Embryo quality – cumulative score 3. Number of recovered oocytes, number of embryos transferred	10000 transfers (5000 for model development & 5000 for model validation)	Logistic regression – pregnancy
Alsaili <i>et al.</i> 1995	1. Age (decline in success with aging) 2. Male factor (decline in success) 3. Serum estradiol levels (increase in success)	5209 cycles (2391 couples)	Cox regression – pregnancy
Hunault <i>et al.</i> 2002	1. Age 2. Embryo development stage; morphological points 3. Number of oocytes 4. Day of transfer	642 women (1 cycle)	Logistic regression / EU model – pregnancy and number of twins
Wilding <i>et al.</i> 2007	Quality of oocytes – score	822	Significance tests / Linear regression – fertilization result
Wheeler <i>et al.</i> 1998	1. Age (decline in success with aging) 2. Embryo morphology – total score	795 cycles	Logistic / Conditional logistic regression – implantation
Elizur <i>et al.</i> 2005	1. Number of embryos (two embryos double the chance for live birth) 2. ICSI (increase in success)	5310 cycles (with transfer)	Survival analysis (discrete) – live births
Tsafirir <i>et al.</i> 2007	1. Age 2. Number of embryos transferred 3. Drug dose	381 (women over 40)	Logistic regression – pregnancy
Wald <i>et al.</i> 2005	1. Male infertility 2. History of female infertility	113 cycles	Nueral networks, discriminate analysis, logistic regression – pregnancy

REVIEW

Templeton <i>et al.</i> 1996	1. Diagnosis (unexplained better) 2. Donor oocytes (improves success) 3. Previous pregnancy (improves success) 4. Infertility period length (decline in success)	36961 cycles (2893 cycles)	Logistic regression –births
de Klerk <i>et al.</i> 2008	1. Treatment course 2. Depression (slightly worse)	289	Logistic regression –births
Tan <i>et al.</i> 1994	Treatment course	2893 women	Survival table / Logistic regression
Engmann <i>et al.</i> 2001	1. Previous births (IVF) (better) 2. Number of previous failures (worse)	7700 cycles (4417 women)	Logistic regression – birth
Ferlitsch <i>et al.</i> 2004	1. FSH (lower level – higher success) 2. BMI (Body Mass Index) – (lower value/ increased success)	171 (1 cycle)	Logistic regression – pregnancy
Fujimoto <i>et al.</i> 2007	1. FSH (lower level –higher success) 2. Normal menstrual cycle (better)	112 (women over 40)	Significance tests – love births
Sneed <i>et al.</i> 2008	BMI (Body Mass Index) – relation to age (higher values in younger patients – decline in success)	1273	Logistic and linear regression – various outcomes
Duran <i>et al.</i> 1998	Sperm morphology and DNA status.	66 couples	Logistic regression – fertilization
Shapiro <i>et al.</i> 2008	1. Blastocyst diameter (higher value – increased success) 2. Early blastomere formation 3. Low preovulatory serum levels of progesterone	361 cycles (320 women)	Logistic regression – pregnancy
Esterhuizen <i>et al.</i> 2001	ZIAR – zona pellucid – induces acrosome reaction	35 couples	ROC analysis - fertilization
Boomsma <i>et al.</i> 2009b	Cytokines (analysis of endometrial secretions) MCP-1, IP10 – implantation IL-1β, TNF-α – clinical pregnancy	210 women	Logistic regression – implantation. clinical pregnancy
Fasouliotis <i>et al.</i> 2004	Cytokines (female serum levels) - INF-g, IL-2	159 women	Significance tests – early pregnancy
Eldar-Geva <i>et al.</i> 2005	Inhibin B, antimullerian hormone and estradiol	56 women	Logistic regression and ROC analysis – number of oocytes /pregnancy
Kim <i>et al.</i> 2012	Serum biomarkers – chloroion gonadotropin, progesterone, and inhibin	68 women	ROC analysis - pregnancy

Facts speak for themselves – every woman loses 20% in reproductive potential after the age of 40. In women over 43, nearly 99% of all retrieved oocytes show aneuploidy. Other possible causes include metabolic defects, abnormalities in the meiotic spindle and abnormalities in chromosome disjunction during meiosis, as well as other age-related processes (Maheshwari *et al.*, 2008; Kuliev *et al.*, 2011).

2.5. Body Mass Index (BMI)

Body mass index (BMI), which is the ratio between one's weight and the squared height, can be used to determine the energy levels of the organism and the mass of adipose tissue. Adipose tissue modifies steroid hormones and produces adipokines (Gonzales *et al.*, 2000).

Leptin is probably the most extensively studied member of the adipokine family. Its serum levels are direct proportional to adipose tissue mass. Leptin is expressed in

reproductive tissues as well, including the secretory endometrium where it probably contributes to angiogenesis regulation. Leptin expression has been detected in blastocysts as well, where it is thought to participate in the process of embryo implantation (Cervero *et al.*, 2004).

Ghrelin is another adipokine with concentrations in reverse proportion to BMI (Budak *et al.*, 2006). It has been demonstrated that ghrelin exerts inhibitory effect on in vitro embryo development and implantation (Kawamura *et al.*, 2003).

Obesity, characterized by higher BMI values, presents with hormonal dysbalance and alterations in normal adipokine concentrations, and hence in the related metabolic pathways. As a result, women with high BMI are characterized by higher risk of reproductive failure and lower chances for successful embryo implantation after IVF. Obese women (BMI>25) have 20% to 50% lower chances for

REVIEW

successful pregnancy, with success rates decreasing with increase in BMI. These cases require modified treatment with higher hormone doses during ovarian stimulation (Fedorcák *et al.*, 2000).

Underweight women (BMI<18.5) also suffer from reproductive problems, particularly abnormalities in oocyte maturation and the menstrual cycle, as well as a higher risk of spontaneous abortion (Helgstrand & Andersen, 2005). Various studies indicate a negative effect of low BMI values on the chances for successful pregnancy after IVF (Wang *et al.*, 2001). Until now, however, the precise molecular mechanisms involved in this remain to be unveiled.

3. A STRATEGIC APPROACH TO DEVELOPING A PROGNOSTIC MODEL

The larger part of existing models are founded on inclusion of different groups of prognostic parameters (factors) and the performance of linear and logistic regression analyses (Table 1). Most logistic regression analysis (LRA) models use as outcome measure variables such as biochemical pregnancy positive test results, number of live births per cycle, and total live births (Roberts *et al.*, 2010).

A specific difficulty for the analysis of data from transfers with more than one embryo is the fact that it is impossible to determine which embryo(s) exactly have been involved in the successful pregnancy outcome. This requires that as prognostic determinants are used either average values of all embryos used or only the values of the embryo with the highest score (Roberts, 2007).

Another problem related to the requirement for observing the rule of independent data units for statistical analysis (for oocytes, embryos, patients etc.) is the probability of performing more than one IVF cycle on the same patients – the so-called “couple effect”. In these cases using data from multiple cycles of the same patients requires special handling by hierarchical ordering and adding of standard random effects, which accounts for the non-independence of certain part of analyses (Ecohard & Clayton, 1998).

In certain cases the prognostic models include predictor parameters of different nature, for which separate regression equations are calculated, and then combined in a new mathematical dependency. An example for this is the so-called EU-model, where the success probability for embryo transfer is subdivided into two components (submodels) – the implantation potential of the embryo (E) and uterine receptivity (U). For a successful transfer at least one embryo

has to implant and the uterus has to be receptive (Roberts *et al.*, 2009).

The processes of folliculogenesis and oogenesis, the early stages of embryo development, endometrial receptivity, are all influenced by numerous factors, all acting in concertation and synchronicity. Pleiotropy is an additional factor that makes the choice of a “best” prognostic factor even more challenging. Employing a combination of factors in the context of a mathematical model would yield a significantly better prognostic value, based on the cumulative effect of prognostic value of separate markers.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the financial support from the Bulgarian Science Fund (Research grant BG RNF 2/06-2009).

References

- Alsaili M, Yuzpe AA, Tummon IS, Parker J, Martin JS, Nisker JA, Daniel SA. 1995. Confounding variables affecting in-vitro fertilization success: a decade of experience. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.*, 12(2): 88-92.
- Bertrand E, Van den Bergh M, Englert Y. 1995. Does zona pellucida thickness influence the fertilization rate? *Hum. Reprod.*, 10(5): 1189-1193.
- Blockeel C, Schutyser V, De Vos A, Verpoest W, De Vos M, Staessen C, Haentjens P, Van der Elst J, Devroey P. 2008. Prospectively randomized controlled trial of PGS in IVF/ICSI patients with poor implantation. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*; 17(6): 848-854.
- Boomsma CM, Kavelaars A, Eijkemans MJC, Amarouchi K, Teklenburg G, Gutknecht D, Fauser BCJM, Heijnen CJ, Macklon NS. 2009a. Cytokine profiling in endometrial secretions: a non-invasive window on endometrial receptivity. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 18(1): 85-94.
- Boomsma CM, Kavelaars A, Eijkemans MJ, Lentjes EG, Fauser BC, Heijnen CJ, Macklon NS. 2009b. Endometrial secretion analysis identifies a cytokine profile predictive of pregnancy in IVF. *Hum. Reprod.*, 24(6): 1427-1435.
- Brisson DR, Hollywood K, Arnesen R, Goodacre R. 2007. Predicting human embryo viability: the road to non-invasive analysis of the secretome using metabolic footprinting. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 15(3):296-302.
- Broekmans FJ, Knauff EA, te Velde ER, Macklon NS, Fauser BC. 2007. Female reproductive ageing: current knowledge and future trends. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.*, 18(2): 58-65.
- Budak E, Fernandez Sanchez M, Bellver J, Cervero A, Simon C, Pellicer A. 2006. Interactions of the hormones leptin, ghrelin, adiponectin, resistin, and PYY3-36 with the reproductive system. *Fertil. Steril.*, 85(6): 1563-1581.

REVIEW

- Cervero A, Horcajadas JA, Martín J, Pellicer A, Simon C. 2004. The leptin system during human endometrial receptivity and preimplantation development. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, 89(5): 2442-2451.
- Chuang CC, Chen CD, Chao KH, Chen SU, Ho HN, Yang YS. 2003. Age is a better predictor of pregnancy potential than basal follicle-stimulating hormone levels in women undergoing in vitro fertilization. *Fertil. Steril.*, 79(1): 63-68.
- Commenges-Ducos M, Tricaud S, Papaxanthos-Roche A, Dallay D, Horovitz J, Commenges D. 1998. Modelling of the probability of success of the stages of in-vitro fertilization and embryo transfer: stimulation, fertilization and implantation. *Hum. Reprod.*, 13(1): 78-83.
- Cummins JM, Breen TM, Harrison KL, Shaw JM, Wilson LM, Hennessey JF. 1986. A formula for scoring human embryo growth rates in in vitro fertilisation: its value in predicting pregnancy and in comparison with visual estimates of embryo quality J. *In Vitro Fertil. Embryo Transfer.*, 3(5): 284-295.
- de Klerk C, Hunfeld JA, Heijnen EM, Eijkemans MJ, Fauser BC, Passchier J, Macklon NS. 2008. Low negative affect prior to treatment is associated with a decreased chance of live birth from a first IVF cycle. *Hum. Reprod.*, 23(1): 112-116.
- De Placido G, Wilding M, Strina I, Alviggi E, Alviggi C, Mollo A, Varicchio MT, Tolino A, Schiattarella C, Dale B. 2002. High outcome predictability after IVF using a combined score for zygote and embryo morphology and growth rate. *Hum. Reprod.*, 17(9): 2402-2409.
- Develioglu OH, Hsiu JG, Nikas G, Toner JP, Oehninger S, Jones HW, Jr. 1999. Endometrial estrogen and progesterone receptor and pinopode expression in stimulated cycles of oocyte donors. *Fertil. Steril.*, 71(6): 1040-1047.
- Duran EH, Gürkan T, Günalp S, Enginsu ME, Yarali H, Ayhan A. 1998. A logistic regression model including DNA status and morphology of spermatozoa for prediction of fertilization in vitro. *Hum. Reprod.*, 13(5): 1235-1239.
- Ebner T, Yaman C, Moser M, Sommergruber M, Feichtinger O, Tews G. 2000. Prognostic value of first polar body morphology on fertilization rate and embryo quality in intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Hum. Reprod.*, 15(2): 427-430.
- Ecochard R, Clayton DG. 1998. Multi-level modeling of conception in artificial insemination by donor. *Stat. Med.*, 17(10): 1137-1156.
- Eldar-Geva T, Ben-Chetrit A, Spitz IM, Rabinowitz R, Markowitz E, Mimoni T, Gal M, Zylber-Haran E, Margalioth EJ. 2005. Dynamic assays of inhibin B, anti-Müllerian hormone and estradiol following FSH stimulation and ovarian ultrasonography as predictors of IVF outcome. *Hum. Reprod.*, 20(11): 3178-3183.
- Elizur SE, Lerner-Geva L, Levron J, Shulman A, Bider D, Dor J. 2005. Factors predicting IVF treatment outcome: a multivariate analysis of 5310 cycles. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 10(5): 645-649.
- Engmann L, Maconochie N, Tan SL, Bekir J. 2001. Trends in the incidence of births and multiple births and the factors that determine the probability of multiple birth after IVF treatment. *Hum. Reprod.*, 16(12): 2598-2605.
- Esterhuizen AD, Franken DR, Lourens JDH, van Rooyen LH. 2001. Clinical importance of zona pellucida induced acrosome reaction (ZIAR): predictive value of the ZIAR test for in vitro fertilization. *Hum. Reprod.*, 16(1): 138-144.
- Fasouliotis SJ, Spandorfer SD, Witkin SS, Schattman G, Liu HC, Roberts JE, Rosenwaks Z. 2004. Maternal serum levels of interferon-g and interleukin-2 soluble receptor-a predict the outcome of early IVF pregnancies. *Hum. Reprod.*, 19(6): 1357-1363.
- Fedorcsák P, Storeng R, Dale PO, Tanbo T, Abyholm T. 2000. Obesity is a risk factor for early pregnancy loss after IVF or ICSI. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.*, 79(1): 43-48.
- Ferlitsch K, Sator MO, Gruber DM, Rucklinger E, Gruber CJ, Huber JC. 2004. Body mass index, follicle-stimulating hormone and their predictive value in in vitro fertilization. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.*, 21(12): 431-436.
- Fisch JD, Keskinetepe L, Sher G. 2008. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist/antagonist conversion with estrogen priming in low responders with prior in vitro fertilization failure. *Fertil. Steril.*, 89(2): 342-347.
- Fujimoto A, Fujiwara T, Osuga Y, Yano T, Tsutsumi O, Taketani Y. 2007. Predictive factors of assisted reproductive technology outcome in women over the age of 40. *Fertil. Steril.*, 88(Suppl. 1): S133.
- Gonzalez RR, Caballero-Campo P, Jasper M, Mercader A, Devoto L, Pellicer A, Simon C. 2000. Leptin and leptin receptor are expressed in the human endometrium and endometrial leptin secretion is regulated by the human blastocyst. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.*, 85(12): 4883-4888.
- Guerif F, Bidault R, Cadoret V, Couet ML, Lansac J, Royere D. 2002. Parameters guiding selection of best embryos for transfer after cryopreservation: a reappraisal. *Hum. Reprod.*, 17(5): 1321-1326.
- Haggarty P, McCallum H, McBain H, Andrews K, Duthie S, McNeill G, Templeton A, Hailes N, Campbell D, Bhattacharya S. 2006. Effect of B vitamins and genetics on success of in vitro fertilisation: prospective cohort study. *Lancet*; 367(9521): 1513-1519.
- Halttunen M, Kämäräinen M, Koistinen H. 2000. Glycodelin: a reproduction-related lipocalin. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1482(1-2): 149-156.
- Helgstrand S, Andersen AM. 2005. Maternal underweight and the risk of spontaneous abortion. *Acta Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.*, 84(12): 1197-1201.
- Hunault CC, Eijkemans MJ, Pieters MH, te Velde ER, Habbema JD, Fauser BC, Macklon NS. 2002. A prediction model for selecting patients undergoing in vitro fertilization for elective single embryo transfer. *Fertil. Steril.*, 77(4): 725-732.
- Irving JA, Lala PK. 1995. Functional role of cell surface integrins on human trophoblast cell migration: regulation by TGF-beta, IGF-II, and IGFBP-1. *Exp. Cell Res.*, 217(2): 419-427.
- Kawamura K, Sato N, Fukuda J, Kodama H, Kumagai J, Tanikawa H, Nakamura A, Honda Y, Sato T, Tanaka T. 2003. Ghrelin inhibits the development of mouse preimplantation embryos in vitro. *Endocrinology*, 144(6): 2623-2633.
- Kim JH, Shin MS, Yi G, Jee BC, Lee JR, Suh CS, Kim SH. 2012. Serum biomarkers for predicting pregnancy outcome in women undergoing IVF: human chorionic gonadotropin, progesterone, and inhibin A level at 11 days post-ET. *Clin. Exp. Reprod. Med.*, 39(1): 28-32.
- Kuliev A, Zlatopolsky Z, Kirillova I, Spivakova J, Cieslak Janzen J. 2011. Meiosis errors in over 20,000 oocytes studied in the practice of preimplantation aneuploidy testing. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 22(1): 2-8.

REVIEW

- Kumbak B, Erden HF, Tosun S, Akbas H, Ulug U, Bahçeci M. 2009. Outcome of assisted reproduction treatment in patients with endometrial thickness less than 7 mm. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 18(1): 79-84.
- La Marca A, Volpe A. 2006. Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) in female reproduction: is measurement of circulating AMH a useful tool? *Clin. Endocrinol. (Oxf)*, 64(6): 603-610.
- Lambers MJ, Mager E, Goutbeek J, McDonnell J, Homburg R, Schats R, Hompes PG, Lambalk CB. 2007. Factors determining early pregnancy loss in singleton and multiple implantations. *Hum. Reprod.*, 22(1):275-279.
- Lee TH, Chen CD, Tsai YY, Chang LJ, Ho HN, Yang YS. 2006. Embryo quality is more important for younger women whereas age is more important for older women with regard to in vitro fertilization outcome and multiple pregnancy. *Fertil. Steril.*, 86(1): 64-69.
- Lee KH, Kim SH, Jee BC, Kim YJ, Suh CS, Kim KC, Lee WD. 2010. Comparison of clinical characteristics between early and late patterns in hospitalized patients with ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. *Fertil. Steril.*, 93(7): 2274-2280.
- Licciardi FL, Liu HC, Rosenwaks Z. 1995. Day 3 estradiol serum concentrations as prognosticators of ovarian stimulation response and pregnancy outcome in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization. *Fertil. Steril.*, 64(5): 991-994.
- Loutradis D, Drakakis P, Kallianidis K, Milingos S, Dendrinis S, Michalas S. 1999. Oocyte morphology correlates with embryo quality and pregnancy rate after intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Fertil. Steril.*, 72(2): 240-244.
- Lundin K, Bergh C, Hardarson T. 2001. Early embryo cleavage is a strong indicator of embryo quality in human IVF. *Hum. Reprod.*, 16(12): 2652-2657.
- Maheshwari A, Porter M, Shetty A, Bhattacharya S. 2008. Women's awareness and perceptions of delay in childbearing. *Fertil. Steril.*, 90(4): 1036-1042.
- Matorras R, Matorras F, Mendoza R, Rodriguez M, Remohi J, Rodriguez-Escudero FJ, Simon C. 2005. The implantation of every embryo facilitates the chances of the remaining embryos to implant in an IVF programme: a mathematical model to predict pregnancy and multiple pregnancy rates. *Hum. Reprod.*, 20(10): 2923-2931.
- Ottosen LDM, Kesmodel U, Hindkjaer J, Ingerslev HJ. 2007. Pregnancy prediction models and eSET criteria for IVF patients – do we need more information? *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.*, 24(1): 29-36.
- Padilla SL, Garcia JE. 1989. Effect of maternal age and number of in vitro fertilization procedures on pregnancy outcome. *Fertil. Steril.*, 52(2): 270-273.
- Rhodes TL, McCoy TP, Higdon HL 3rd, Boone WR. 2005. Factors affecting assisted reproductive technology (ART) pregnancy rates: a multivariate analysis. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.*, 22(9-10): 335-346.
- Roberts SA. 2007. Models for assisted conception data with embryo-specific covariates. *Stat. Med.*, 26(1): 156-170.
- Roberts SA, Fitzgerald CT, Brison DR. 2009. Modelling the impact of single embryo transfer in a national health service IVF programme. *Hum. Reprod.*, 24(1): 122-131.
- Roberts SA, McGowan L, Hirst WM, Brison DR, Vail A, Lieberman BA. 2010. Towards single embryo transfer? Modelling clinical outcomes of potential treatment choices using multiple data sources: predictive models and patient perspectives. *Health Technol. Assess.*, 14(38): 1-237.
- Rogers PA, Milne BJ, Trounson AO. 1986. A model to show human uterine receptivity and embryo viability following ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization. *J. In Vitro Fert. Embryo Transf.*, 3(2): 93-98.
- Sabatin L, Zosmer A, Hennessy EM, Tozer A, Al-Shawaf T. 2008. Relevance of basal serum FSH to IVF outcome varies with patient age. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 17(1): 10-19.
- Salumets A, Suikkari AM, Makinen S, Karro H, Roos A, Tuuri T. 2006. Frozen embryo transfers: implications of clinical and embryological factors on the pregnancy outcome. *Hum. Reprod.*, 21(9): 2368-2374.
- Schieve LA, Peterson HB, Meikle SF, Jeng G, Danel I, Burnett NM, Wilcox LS. 1999. Live-birth rates and multiple-birth risk using in vitro fertilization. *JAMA*; 282(19):1832-1838.
- Shapiro BS, Daneshmand ST, Garner FC, Aguirre M, Thomas S. 2008. Large blastocyst diameter, early blastulation, and low pre-ovulatory serum progesterone are dominant predictors of clinical pregnancy in fresh autologous cycles. *Fertil. Steril.*, 90(2): 302-309.
- Sneed ML, Uhler ML, Grotjan HE, Rapisarda JJ, Lederer KJ, Beltsos AN. 2008. Body mass index: impact on IVF success appears age-related. *Hum. Reprod.*, 23(8): 1835-1839.
- Steer CV, Mills CL, Tan SL, Campbell S, Edwards RG. 1992. The cumulative embryo score: a predictive embryo scoring technique to select the optimal number of embryos to transfer in an in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer programme. *Hum. Reprod.*, 7(1): 117-119.
- Sunkara SK, Rittenberg V, Raine-Fenning N, Bhattacharya S, Zamora J, Coomarasamy A. 2011. Association between the number of eggs and live birth in IVF treatment: an analysis of 400 135 treatment cycles. *Hum. Reprod.*, 26(7): 1768-1774.
- Tan SL, Maconochie N, Doyle P, Campbell S, Balen A, Bekir J, Brinsden P, Edwards RG, Jacobs HS. 1994. Cumulative conception and live-birth rates after in vitro fertilization with and without the use of long, short, and ultrashort regimens of the gonadotropin releasing hormone agonist buserelin. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.*, 171(2): 513-520.
- Tarlatzis BC, Zepiridis L, Grimbizis G, Bontis J. 2003. Clinical management of low ovarian response to stimulation for IVF: a systematic review. *Hum. Reprod. Update*, 9(1): 61-76.
- Templeton A, Morris JK, Parslow W. 1996. Factors that affect outcome of in vitro fertilisation treatment. *Lancet*, 348(9039): 1402-1406.
- Terriou P, Sapin C, Giorgetti C, Hans E, Spach JL, Roulier R. 2001. Embryo score is a better predictor of pregnancy than the number of transferred embryos or female age. *Fertil. Steril.*, 75(3): 525-531.
- Toner JP, Philput CB, Jones GS, Muasher SJ. 1991. Basal follicle-stimulating hormone level is a better predictor of in vitro fertilization performance than age. *Fertil. Steril.*, 55(4): 784-791.
- Tsafirir A, Simon A, Revel A, Reubinoff B, Lewin A, Laufer N. 2007. Retrospective analysis of 1217 IVF cycles in women aged 40 years and older. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 14(3): 348-355.
- Verwoerd GR, Mathews T, Brinsden PR. 2008. Optimal follicle0 and oocyte numbers for cryopreservation of all embryos in IVF cycles at risk of OHSS. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 17(3): 312-317.
- Wald M, Sparks AET, Sandlow J, Van-Voorhis B, Syrop CH, Niederberger CS. 2005. Computational models for prediction of

REVIEW

- IVF/ICSI outcomes with surgically retrieved spermatozoa. *Reprod. Biomed. Online*, 11(3): 325-331.
- Wang JX, Davies MJ, Norman RJ. 2001. Polycystic ovarian syndrome and the risk of spontaneous abortion following assisted reproductive technology treatment. *Hum. Reprod.*, 16(12): 2606-2609.
- Weissman A, Gotlieb L, Casper RF. 1999. The detrimental effect of increased endometrial thickness on implantation and pregnancy rates and outcome in an in vitro fertilization program. *Fertil. Steril.*, 71(1): 147-149.
- Wheeler CA, Cole BF, Frishman GN, Seifer DB, Lovegreen SB, Hackett RJ. 1998. Predicting probabilities of pregnancy and multiple gestation from in vitro fertilization – a new model. *Obstet. Gynecol.*, 91(5): 696-700.
- Wilding M, Di Matteo L, D'Andretti S, Montanaro N, Capobianco C, Dale B. 2007. An oocyte score for use in assisted reproduction. *J. Assist. Reprod. Genet.*, 24(8): 350-358.
- Xia P. 1997. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection: correlation of oocyte grade based on polar body, perivitelline space and cytoplasmic inclusions with fertilization rate and embryo quality. *Hum. Reprod.*, 12(8): 1750-1755.